## Economic Contribution of the Agricultural Sector to the Arkansas Economy in 2015



Leah English, Jennie Popp, and Wayne Miller



A R K A N S A S A G R I C U L T U R A L E X P E R I M E N T S T A T I O N December 2017 Research Report 998

Technical editing and cover design by Gail Halleck.

Photo Credits: Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Arkansas System, Division of Agriculture.

Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville. Mark J. Cochran, Vice President for Agriculture; Jean-François Meullenet, Interim Associate Vice-President for Agriculture–Research and Director, AAES. WWW/CC2017. The University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture offers all its Extension and Research programs and services without regard to race, color, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, national origin, religion, age, disability, marital or veteran status, genetic information, or any other legally protected status, and is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer. ISSN: 1539-5944 CODEN: AKABA7

## Economic Contribution of the Agricultural Sector to the Arkansas Economy in 2015

Leah English\* Jennie Popp Wayne Miller

Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

\* Leah English is a Program Associate for the University of Arkansas System, Division of Agriculture, Center for Agricultural and Rural Sustainability in Fayetteville; Jennie Popp is a Professor in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness in Fayetteville; and Wayne Miller is a Professor of Economic and Community Development with the University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service in Little Rock, Ark.

## Contents

List of Tables, Boxes, and Appendices Acknowledgements Executive Summary Definitions and Styles Agricultural Sectors Economic Contribution	3
Acknowledgements	3
Executive Summary	4
Definitions and Styles	5
Agricultural Sectors	5
Economic Contribution	5
Style Notes	6
1: Direct, Indirect, and Induced Contributions of the Aggregate Agriculture Sector	7
1.1: Introduction	7
1.2: Methods	7
<ul> <li>1.1: Introduction</li> <li>1.2: Methods</li> <li>1.2.1: General Procedures</li> </ul>	8
1.2.2: Measures of Economic Contribution	9
1.3: The Aggregate Agriculture Sector	10
1.4: Summary of the Contribution of Agriculture in 2015	
Literature Cited	
Appendix A: Description of IMPLAN Sectors and Aggregation Schemes	14

#### Tables

1.	The Aggregate A	Agriculture Sector's (	Contribution to Arkansas	Economy, 2015	1	1
1.		ignetitune beetor b c	Joint ibution to minunduo	Leonomy, 2013		· •

#### Boxes

B1.	Total Contribution of Arkansas Agriculture, 2015	11
	Employment Generated by Agriculture, 2015-Top Five NAICS Industries	
B3.	Value Added Generated by Agriculture, 2015-Top Five NAICS Industries	12
B4.	Labor Income Generated by Agriculture, 2015-Top Five NAICS Industries	12

### Appendices

A1.	Components of the Crops Sector as Defined by IMPLAN Sectors, 2015	14
	Components of the Animal Agriculture Sector as Defined by IMPLAN Sectors, 2015	
	Components of the Forestry Sector as Defined by IMPLAN Sectors, 2015	
A4.	Components of the Agriculture-Related Sector as Defined by IMPLAN Sectors, 2015	17

### Acknowledgements

We, the authors, would like to thank the Arkansas Division of Agriculture for funding this initiative. We also extend our appreciation to several individuals in the University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Departments of Horticulture and Poultry Science as well as the Arkansas Forestry Commission who offered their expertise for data collection and interpretation. We would like to thank our reviewers for their insightful input and suggestions. Finally, we sincerely appreciate Gail Halleck's publishing skills and attention to detail.

## **Executive Summary**

Agriculture and associated agricultural activities are major contributors to the Arkansas economy. Agriculture is defined as the sum of agricultural production and processing activities, unless otherwise specified, and includes crop and animal production and processing, agricultural support industries, forestry and forest products, and textile goods. Agriculture contributes to the economy through direct agricultural production and value-added processing, and also leads to economic activity in other parts of the economy.

This report is the tenth in a series of reports examining agriculture's economic contribution to the Arkansas economy. The total economic contribution of agriculture (direct, indirect, and induced effects) on value added, employment, and labor income was estimated with the Impact Analysis for Planning System (IMPLAN) for the most recent year available, 2015. Key findings of the IMPLAN analysis are as follows:

- <u>Aggregate Agriculture contributed \$21.4B in total value added to the state economy; this is more than \$0.17 of every \$1 in value generated in the state.</u>
- <u>Aggregate Agriculture</u> accounted for 264,215 jobs, which is almost 17% of all jobs in the state. Over one-half of these (134,082), or 8.4% of all state employment, are in the agriculture production, processing and agriculture-related industries.
- <u>Aggregate Agriculture</u> contributed \$12.8B in labor income, or 17.6% of the state's total labor income, including agriculture payrolls, which totaled \$9.6B, or 15.2% of total state wages.
- <u>Aggregate Agriculture</u> generates value added, employment, and income in all 20 of the 2-digit NAICS aggregated industries in the state. Almost half of agriculture's contribution to value added occurs in industries closely tied to agriculture but not defined as part of the agriculture sector, such as *Wholesale Trade, Real Estate and Rental*, and *Transportation and Warehousing*.

Including direct, indirect and induced effects, agriculture generates over one in six jobs and almost 17% of value added in the state. The diversity of the state's agriculture helps mitigate the effects of low world market prices or trade embargoes on commodities. Crops, animal agriculture, and forestry production and processing are all major contributors to agriculture and to the state's economy. The large and diverse natural resource base of the state provides the opportunity for agriculture to change and develop new value added and bio-energy industries. The size and diversity of the state's agriculture contribute greatly to the well-being of Arkansans and to the stability of the state's economy.

**Note:** In some cases, numbers reported in this research report may be different than numbers reported in its companion document, the booklet *Arkansas Agriculture Profile: Pocket Facts 2017* (English, Popp and Miller, 2017) due to rounding.



## **Definitions and Styles**

#### **Agricultural Sectors**

Aggregate Agriculture consists of the <u>Crops</u>, <u>Animal Agriculture</u>, and <u>Forestry Sectors</u>' production and processing industries, plus the <u>Agriculture-Related Sector</u>. See Appendix A, Tables 1-4 for a complete listing of the sectors included.

**Crops Sector** comprises those industries directly involved in crop production and processing. See Appendix A, Table 1 for a complete listing of the industries included.

**Animal Agriculture Sector** comprises those industries directly involved in livestock production and processing. See Appendix A, Table 2 for a complete listing of the industries included.

**Forestry Sector** comprises those industries directly involved in forestry production and processing. See Appendix A Table 3, for a complete listing of the industries included.

**Agriculture-Related Sector** comprises those industries that support the <u>Crops</u>, <u>Animal Agriculture</u>, and <u>Forestry Sectors</u>. See Appendix A, Table 4 for a complete listing of the industries included.

**Note:** No input providers (fertilizer, pesticide and equipment manufacturers) or retail locations (restaurants, grocery stores, lawn and garden centers, etc.) are considered as direct contributors to the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> in the contribution analysis. However, much or some of the economic activity in these firms is picked up as indirect and induced effects and reported as part of the total economic contribution.

#### **Economic Contribution**

The total economic contribution of the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> includes three areas of wealth and job generation:

- 1. **Direct Contributions** are the sum of the contributions of farm production and processing of farm and forestry products.
- 2. **Indirect Contributions** result when agricultural firms purchase raw materials and services from other Arkansas businesses to produce their products.
- 3. **Induced Contributions** result when employees of agricultural firms and employees of the raw material and service firms spend a portion of their income on local purchases.

These contributions are reported in terms of Employment, Labor Income, and Value Added:

- 1. **Employment** includes all wage and salary employees, as well as self-employed workers (owner-operators) in a given sector.
- 2. Labor Income consists of two parts: proprietary income and wages. Proprietary income includes all income received by self-employed individuals, such as private business owners, doctors, lawyers or other professionals. Wages include all worker salaries, payments, and fringe benefits paid by employers.
- 3. Value Added includes labor income plus indirect taxes and other property-type income such as payments for rents, royalties, and dividends. Value added and Gross Domestic Product (GDP) are equivalent measures in theory but are estimated using different methods and data sources.

#### **Style Notes**

**Agricultural Sectors.** These comprise the areas of focus in our study. Previous reports break down the <u>Aggregate Agricul-</u> <u>ture Sector</u> into three areas of analysis: <u>Crops Sector</u>, <u>Animal Agriculture Sector</u>, and <u>Forestry Sector</u>. However, due to inconsistencies in available data, these areas are no longer analyzed individually. In order to maintain the highest level of accuracy possible, only results for the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> are presented in this report. These terms are capitalized and underlined throughout the text.

**NAICS Sectors.** The North American Industry Classification Scheme (NAICS) is "...the standard for use by Federal statistical agencies in classifying business establishments for the collection, tabulation, presentation, and analysis of statistical data describing the U.S. economy....For statistical purposes, a business establishment is assigned one NAICS code, based on its primary business activity" (USCB, 2017). This report uses the 2012 NAICS sectoring scheme (IMPLAN, 2017a). Agricultural activities are classified under, or can impact, multiple sectors. Throughout the document, capitalization of sectors is used when referring to NAICS sectors. Examples include Food Manufacturing, Paper Manufacturing, and Wood Product Manufacturing.

**Industries.** These are defined as individual IMPLAN industries that are defined by aggregating NAICS sectors into the larger IMPLAN industries used in our analysis. These industries are capitalized and italicized. Examples include *Poultry and Egg Production* and *Paperboard Mills*.

**General Descriptive Terms.** These are terms used throughout the text to describe agriculture areas that are not related to established industry classification schemes or specific agricultural sector titles used in this analysis. These terms are presented in lowercase. Examples include agricultural production and agricultural processing.

# 1: Direct, Indirect, and Induced Contributions of the Aggregate Agriculture Sector

## 1.1: Introduction

The total economic contribution of Arkansas' <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> is much more than the direct contribution of agricultural production and processing. To measure agriculture's total economic contribution, the indirect and induced contributions of agriculture must also be taken into consideration. Indirect contributions occur when the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> purchases goods and services from local businesses. The production of fertilizers and certain farm machinery and equipment, for example, are indirect contributions of agricultural production. Agriculture's induced contributions are measured by increases in economic activity due to personal consumption by employees of the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> and by employees of firms that provide inputs to the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u>. The sum of direct, indirect, and induced agricultural effects provides a measure for the total economic contribution of agriculture. This report discusses the overall economic contribution of agriculture to Arkansas' economy, considering the direct, indirect, and induced effects of the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> in 2015.

## **1.2:** Methods

This report builds upon previous reports (Goodwin et al., 2002; Popp, Vickery and Miller, 2005; Popp, Kemper and Miller, 2007; Kemper, Popp and Miller, 2009; Popp et al., 2010; McGraw, Popp and Miller, 2011; McGraw, Popp and Miller, 2012; English, Popp and Miller, 2013; English, Popp and Miller, 2014) and utilizes data for 2015, the most recent year that relevant IMPLAN data were available.

As in our previous reports, the economic contribution of Arkansas' <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> was computed using data and input-output (I-O) modeling software (IMPLAN version 3.1) from IMPLAN Group, LLC; formerly Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. (MIG). Data here are reported for 2015 (IMPLAN, 2017b). Contributions are reported in terms of employment, labor income, and value added (introduced previously and described in depth in section 1.3). The only sectors included as part of the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sec-</u> tor in the analysis are those directly producing agricultural products, processing raw agricultural products, or providing agricultural services to producers. Any sector less than 100% tied to agriculture (e.g., restaurants, grocery stores, fertilizer manufacturers, or distributors) is not included as part of the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u>.

<u>Aggregate Agriculture</u> is broadly defined as the sum of <u>Crops</u>, <u>Animal Agriculture</u>, <u>Forestry</u>, and the <u>Agriculture-Related Sectors</u>. <u>Aggregate Agriculture</u> includes all of the IMPLAN industries listed in Appendix A, Tables 1-4. While previous reports present individual contribution results for the <u>Crops</u>, <u>Animal</u> <u>Agriculture</u>, and <u>Forestry</u> sectors, inconsistencies in available data have limited the possibilities for accurately separating out these sectors. Therefore, the results of this study are presented only for the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u>.

The <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u>, as defined in this study, is made up of three

types of industries: agricultural production industries, agricultural processing industries, and agriculture-related industries. These industries are further defined in Appendix A. "Contribution analysis" typically describes that portion of a region's economy that can be attributed to an existing industry, event, or policy by identifying all the direct and backward linkages in the study area (Alward, 2012). This contribution includes the direct, indirect and induced effects of agricultural production, processing, and agriculturerelated activities, excluding the direct effect of the food services and drinking industry. Although these and other nonagricultural industries are excluded from direct analysis, the indirect and induced contributions of such sectors are included in the total contribution of agriculture results. These results are generally presented in terms of the Aggregate Agriculture Sector as a whole, but in some cases, may also be presented as individual production and processing contributions.

State level IMPLAN data for Arkansas for 2015 (the most recent data available) were used to calculate all contributions (IMPLAN, 2017b). The relevant employment, labor income, and value added contributions of agriculture are summarized below. All labor income and value added figures are reported in current (nominal) 2015 dollars, unless otherwise noted.

#### **1.2.1 General Procedures**

For the economic contribution analysis of the agriculture sector, the entire measure of economic activity in the industries that make up the Aggregate Agriculture Sector (crop, livestock, and forestry production and processing industries, and ag-related activities) are considered to be 100% agriculture. There are several key considerations in the construction of the IMPLAN I-O models used to measure the economic contribution of the Aggregate Agriculture Sector. For each step of the analysis, careful consideration is taken to ensure that the analysis accurately reflects the Arkansas Aggregate Agriculture Sector. The main steps for constructing the model are baseline data analysis, setting up the model through the selection of a trade flows method, multiplier specification, modifying commodity production and trade flows, setting up activities, and analyzing the scenario (IMPLAN, 2017c).

The <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> is made up of three broad categories: agricultural production, agricultural processing, and agricultural-related industries. The IMPLAN 2015 Arkansas state data package is used as the basis for this analysis. While IMPLAN is widely regarded as the industry standard for contribution and impact analysis, agricultural production data in IMPLAN are sometimes unreliable for three reasons. First, output data for all industries outside of agriculture are estimated from a large number of sources, but data for agricultural production are derived entirely from the U.S. Department of Agriculture's National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service (ERS), and the most recent U.S. Census of Agriculture. Due to publication lags between sources, IM-PLAN values may be based on preliminary estimates for a given year, or otherwise derived from values published from previous years. A second data issue specific to agriculture is non-disclosure problems, particularly at the county level, which makes data reconciliation difficult. A third issue arises from the fact that employment and income data for the agriculture sectors are difficult to estimate. Employment and earnings data are currently only collected at an aggregate level, not on a commodity basis. Therefore, IM-PLAN must employ methods to extrapolate these values from the aggregate statelevel figures. In addition to these ongoing challenges, occasional updates to IM-PLAN's dataset development methodologies have been shown to cause large, unexplainable shifts in sector values between individual data years. While IM-PLAN maintains that their datasets are developed through methods generating the highest accuracy possible, they do encourage analysts with access to better agricultural data to update the baseline dataset, where applicable.

In previous reports, IMPLAN values were adjusted using available USDA NASS and ERS data. However, discontinuation of reporting of some values by NASS and ERS has made this task increasingly difficult. For example, prior to 2013, ERS reported an annual cash receipt value for the Arkansas greehouse and nursery industry. This value could be directly compared to IMPLAN's greenhouse, nursery, and floriculture production industry (sector 6). As ERS has discontinued reporting of this value, there is no longer a means of comparison for sector 6. The same can be seen with other specialty crop industries as NASS's reporting for several commodities was discontinued for 2016.

Upon analysis, it was concluded that, although there were unexplainable value shifts within IMPLAN's individual agricultural production sectors, changes found in the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> appeared reasonable. This makes sense as some calculation methods used by IM-PLAN involve the distribution of an aggregate value to the various agricultural production sectors. This is especially true for employment. For the sake of accuracy, only values for the <u>Aggregate Agriculture</u> <u>Sector</u> are presented in this report with no adjustments made to IMPLAN's provided dataset.

Outside of baseline data issues, estimating trade flows across regional boundaries is possibly the largest source of error in non-survey I-O models (Stevens and Trainor, 1980). In previous years, the Econometric RPC method was used to eliminate some of the errors. For this report, methods were updated to replace the Econometric RPC method with IM-PLAN's National Trade Flows Model. The National Trade Flows Model is a doubly constrained gravity model that's balanced so that domestic imports and exports effectively "cancel out". In other words, all sources of supply and demand are accounted for in the analysis.

Multipliers describe the response of an economy to a change in economic activity and estimate changes in output, employment, income, and value added. When analyzing the economic contributions of the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u>, Type SAM (Social Accounting Matrix) multipliers are used to incorporate household expenditures into the models and to calculate the indirect and induced



contributions. Type SAM multipliers are the direct, indirect, and induced effects where the induced effect is based on both study area data and additional information in the social account matrix. The SAM framework tracks both market and non-market flows. Non-market flows are transactions between non-industrial institutions such as households to government, government to households, and so on. These flows are called "inter-institutional transfers" (Alward and Lindall, 1996). The SAM multiplier approach enables the model to account for commuting, social security tax payments, household income tax payments, and savings; it accounts for income that is not normally re-spent immediately within the region, such as commuting workers who live outside the region and retirement benefits. I-O models built with Type SAM multipliers usually have results that are lower than an I-O model built with Type II multipliers (also available in IMPLAN). However, the Type SAM is viewed as the most appropriate choice for analyzing contributions of the agriculture sector.

Within Type SAM analysis, institutions such as households, state and local government, federal government, enterprises, capital, and inventory additions/ deletions may be chosen for internalization within the model. In some previous reports, only the default "household" institutions were selected for analysis. For 2012, however, it was determined that state and local government should also be included. This addition serves to more accurately account for induced effects brought about through state and local government spending.

When conducting contribution analysis, IMPLAN allows the user to edit commodities produced by industries for the change in final demand. In this study, any byproduct commodity produced in an industry included in the Aggregate Agriculture Sector was set to zero so the industry production of the primary commodity is 100%. Therefore, the industry is solely responsible for the entire value of the product being sold (e.g., Oilseed Farming industry is solely responsible for the entire value of soybeans produced; soybeans are not produced in any other sector). By specifying that each agricultural industry only produce its primary commodity, no byproducts exist and there fore no indirect or induced effects are calculated in the defined agricultural industries. To ensure that industry purchases do not exceed the amount specified, trade flows also must be modified. This is done by adjusting the Local Use Ratios (RSCs) for each agriculture sector to zero.

In order to measure the contribution of the Aggregate Agriculture Sector, an industry change activity must first be created. Within this activity, events for each agriculture industry within the Aggregate Agriculture Sector should be set. The year of each event is equal to the data year, and output values for each event should equal those provided for each sector by IMPLAN. When setting up events, it's possible to change the portion of activity that accrues to the local (in this case, the state) economy by editing the Local Purchase Percentages (LPPs) for each industry. Only the portion of an industry's value that is produced locally should accrue to the local economy. For instance, output in the Oilseed Farming industry (sector 1) involves the LPP being set to 100%, which means the entire output value of that industry is accrued to the region because the goods in the industry are produced within the study region. Alternately, an activity measuring spending by tourists on gasoline and oil would involve setting the LPPs to the SAM value, an estimate of the actual percent of expenditures made in the study area. Estimating the economic contribution of the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> to the state involves ensuring that the LPPs for each industry considered part of the <u>Aggregate</u> <u>Agriculture Sector</u> are set to 100%.

#### **1.2.2 Measures of Economic** Contribution

Total economic contributions are made up of three separate components: 1) direct contributions: generated by farm production and processing of crops, poultry, livestock and forest products; 2) indirect contributions: generated when agricultural firms purchase materials and services from other Arkansas businesses: and 3) induced contributions: result when employees of agricultural firms and their suppliers spend a portion of their income within Arkansas. Each of these contributions makes up an important part of the total economic contribution of the Arkansas agriculture sector. The overall definition of the Aggregate Agriculture Sector in this study is limited to only those sectors considered to be 100% tied to agriculture, as defined in Appendix A. However, the indirect and induced contributions measure the contributions of those industries that are linked to agriculture but may not be entirely defined as agriculture. These industries represent important economic bases of many communities across the state and contribute to the jobs, income and value added in these communities due to their relationships with agriculture. Because sectors are interlinked throughout the state, expansion in activities in one sector may result in expansion in other sectors. Therefore, the contributions accruing in other sectors as a result of agricultural production and processing are included in the total economic contribution.



Economic contributions are often measured in terms of: 1) total industry output, 2) wages and labor income (wages, salaries, and proprietor income), 3) total value added, and 4) employment. I-O analysis can be used to assess the economic contribution of an existing sector. These measures are thought of as a sector's gross contribution to the regional economy. This is accomplished by "removing" the sector of choice from the I-O model and examining how this removal affects the economic activity in the region. This provides an estimate of the contribution of the sector by looking at the losses experienced (or activity generated) by the sector of interest.

Employment includes all wage and salary employees, as well as self-employed jobs, in a given sector. All jobs are not equal; they pay different wages, require different skills and different work hours, etc., which makes aggregate estimates or comparisons across regions and industries problematic. However, jobs as a measure of economic contribution are easily understood and an important component of economic activity. Labor income consists of two parts: first is proprietary income, which includes all income received by self-employed individuals including private business owners, owneroperators, etc.; second is wages, which includes all worker salaries, payments, and fringe benefits paid by employers. Value added represents all payments to workers (labor income) plus indirect taxes and other property-type income, such as payments for rents, royalties, and dividends. Value added is comparable to GDP by State but is measured using different data sources and methods, so the data may not be precisely equal. Value added is the income and indirect business taxes generated by the activity and offers a more complete examination of the total economic contribution of an activity to a region; therefore, economists generally prefer value added to output as the measure for assessing the contribution of a given industry or activity to a region's economy (Olson and Lindall, 2009). Income and value added are also relatively clear measures of economic contribution

that can be directly compared across industries and regions that contain a range of different economic activities.

Measuring the economic importance of an industry using output can be misleading. Output represents the dollar value of an industry's total production, but also can be thought of as the sum of the goods and services used to provide a product. Economic contribution analyses estimate the contribution of production (output) by including purchases from other industries to produce the inputs required to create this output; therefore, output includes the production of intermediate goods which are included in another industry's output. Summing the output of all industries would include multiple counting of some goods and services. Gross sales receipts overestimate the economic size of an industry because the values of inputs are recounted at each succeeding stage of production. As a result, output should not be used as a measure of economic contribution and is not reported in this study.

## 1.3: The Aggregate Agriculture Sector

In 2015, agriculture made substantial contributions to the Arkansas economy in terms of employment, labor income, wages, and value added (see Box 1). The <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> provided 264,215 jobs, accounting for almost 17%, of state employment. That is, more than one in six Arkansas jobs can be attributed to agriculture. In that same year, agriculture paid \$12.8B, or almost 18% of state labor income. Wages accounted for \$9.6B, or 75% of total labor income generated by agriculture. Additionally, the <u>Aggregate Agriculture Sector</u> added \$21.4B of value

to the state economy, or 17% of state value added. That is, more than \$1 out of every \$6 in value added can be attributed to agriculture (Table 1).

Agriculture generates employment in all 20 of the 2-digit NAICS sectors. Almost 70% of all agriculture-generated jobs are in five sectors: *Manufacturing*; *Agriculture*, *Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting*; *Healthcare and Social Assistance*; *Public Administration*; and *Retail Trade* (Box 2).

The far-reaching contributions of agriculture are seen in the distribution of agriculture-generated value added throughout the economy. Box 3 shows the five sectors that benefit most from value added generated by agriculture. Note that three of those sectors (*Real Estate and Rental and Leasing, Wholesale Trade, and Public Administration*) lie outside of the agriculture sector as defined in this study. Although half of all agriculture-generated value added accrues outside agricultural sectors, these sectors are closely tied to agriculture. For instance, *Wholesale Trade* contains businesses such as grain and livestock wholesalers as well as farm supply wholesalers.



As with value added, much of the income attributable to agricultural activity is generated outside of agricultural sectors. Box 4 shows the five sectors that generate the most income as the result of agricultural activity in Arkansas. In 2015, \$6.0B, or 47% of all labor income, went to workers in non-agricultural sectors.

Agriculture's direct contribution to the state economy is measured by the sum of

the contributions of farm production, processing of farm products, and agriculturerelated sectors. In 2015, there were 134,082 workers employed by the agricultural production, processing and agriculture-related sectors. The owners, operators, and workers of these farms and businesses received more than \$6.8B in labor income; 54% of direct labor income went to workers and business owners in processing

industries. Agricultural production, processing, and agriculture-related industries directly added value of \$10.8B to the Arkansas economy; 65% was from processing industries (Table 1).

Indirect contributions result when agricultural firms purchase raw materials and services from other Arkansas businesses to produce their products. In 2015, there were 52,257 workers em-

Box 1. Total Contribution of Arkansas Agriculture, 2015
Employment
264,215 jobs
(1 out of 6 Arkansas jobs)
Wages
\$9,572M
(15.17% of Arkansas wages)
Labor Income
\$12,788M
(17.6% of Arkansas labor income)
Value-Added
\$21,439M
(\$1 out of \$6 Arkansas value added)

Source: IMPLAN (2017b). NOTE: Presented in current dollars.

Box 2. Employment Generated by Agriculture,
2015 Top Five NAICS Industries <sup>a</sup>
Manufacturing
74,745 jobs
(98.52% of the jobs are in agricultural processing)
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting
60,442 jobs
(88.76% of the jobs are in agricultural production)
Health Care and Social Assistance
14,770 jobs
Public Administration
13,843 jobs
Retail Trade
12,160 jobs
Top Five Total
175,959 jobs
(66.6% of all jobs generated by Agriculture)

<sup>a</sup>Based on 2-Digit NAICS aggregation (USCB, 2014). Source: IMPLAN (2017b). Note: presented in current dollars.

		Employment <sup>a</sup>			Labor Income <sup>b</sup>			Value Ac	lded <sup>c</sup>
	Number of Jobs	% Total	% Total Arkansas Jobs	Million \$	% Total	% Total Arkansas Labor Income	Million \$	% Total	% Total Arkansas Value Added
Ag Production <sup>d</sup>	53,648	20.3 %	3.4 %	2,895	22.6 %	4.0 %	3,358	15.7 %	2.7 %
Ag Processing <sup>e</sup>	73,640	27.9 %	4.6 %	3,683	28.8 %	5.1 %	7,055	32.9 %	5.6 %
Ag-Related <sup>f</sup>	6,794	2.6 %	0.4 %	245	1.9 %	0.3 %	405	1.9 %	0.3 %
Direct Contribution	134,082	50.7 %	8.4 %	6,822	53.4 %	9.4 %	10,819	50.5 %	8.6 %
Indirect Effects	52,257	19.8 %	3.3 %	2,899	22.7 %	4.0 %	5,173	24.1 %	4.1 %
Direct + Indirect	186,339	70.5 %	11.7 %	9,721	76.0 %	13.4 %	15,992	74.6 %	12.8 %
Contribution									
Induced Effects	77,876	29.5 %	4.9 %	3,067	24.0 %	4.2 %	5,447	25.4 %	4.3 %
Total Contribution	264,215	100.0 %	16.6 %	12,788	100.0 %	17.6 %	21,439	100.0 %	17.1 %

Source: Computed using the 2015 Arkansas database from IMPLAN (2017b).

Note: Presented in current dollars.

<sup>a</sup> Equivalent to full- and part-time jobs (Day, 2014).

<sup>b</sup> Labor income represents all forms of employment income; it is the sum of employee compensation and proprietor income (Day, 2014).

<sup>c</sup> Value added is the sum of employee compensation, proprietor income, other property type income, and indirect business taxes.

<sup>d</sup> Ag production describes sectors involved in crop, animal agriculture, and forestry production.

<sup>e</sup> Ag processing describes sectors involved in crop, animal agriculture, and forestry sectors processing.

<sup>f</sup> Ag-related sectors include agricultural sectors not categorized as agricultural production or processing. These sectors are Commercial Fishing, Commercial Hunting and Trapping; and Support Activities for Agriculture and Forestry.


ployed by industries supplying goods and services to the farm production and processing industries. The workers and owners of these establishments received \$2.9B in labor income and these industries added value of almost \$5.2B to the state economy (Table 1). Induced contributions result when employees of agricultural firms and employees of the raw material and service firms spend a portion of their income on local purchases. There were 77,876 workers employed by businesses providing goods and services to the employees in agriculture and its supplying industries. These employees and the proprietors of these businesses received roughly \$3.1B in labor income and added value of almost \$5.5B to the Arkansas economy (Table 1).

# 1.4: Summary of the Contribution of Agriculture in 2015

In 2015, the Aggregate Agriculture Sector contributed 134,082 direct jobs, or 8.4% of state employment. In addition, the Aggregate Agriculture Sector contributed 130,133 indirect and induced jobs, for a total contribution of almost 17% of state employment. Indirect and induced jobs were created in all 20 of the 2-digit NAICS aggregated industries. This analysis indicates that the Arkansas Aggregate Agriculture Sector plays a significant role in generating jobs, income, and value added throughout the state's economy. World and domestic price stability and associated agricultural and food policies will continue to have a significant impact on Arkansas agriculture and its contribution to the Arkansas economy. Continued strength of agriculture is of paramount importance if the social and economic fabric of rural Arkansas communities is to be retained and if the essential infrastructure and services that translate into an acceptable quality of life for its residents are to be maintained.

Boy 2 Value Added Concreted by Agricult	
Box 3. Value Added Generated by Agricult	ure,
2015 Top Five NAICS Industries <sup>a</sup>	
Manufacturing	
\$7,224M	
(97.67% of value added is in agricultural process	sing)
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	
\$3,763M	
(89.24% of the value added is in agricultural produ	uction)
Wholesale Trade	
\$1,854M	
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	
\$1,471M	
Public Administration	
\$911M	
Top Five Total	
\$15,223M	
(71.01% of all value added generated by Agricul	ture)

<sup>a</sup>Based on 2-Digit NAICS aggregation (USCB, 2014). Source: IMPLAN (2017b). Note: Presented in current dollars.



<sup>a</sup>Based on 2-Digit NAICS aggregation (USCB, 2014). Source: IMPLAN (2017b). Note: Presented in current dollars.

## Literature Cited

- Alward, A. 2012. "Estimating the contribution of a current industry using IMPLAN version 3.0." MIG (Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc.).
- Alward, G. and Lindall, S. 1996. "Deriving SAM multiplier models using IMPLAN." Paper presented at 1996 IMPLAN user conference.
- Day, F. 2014. "Principles of Impact Analysis and IMPLAN Applications"; IM-PLAN Group, LLC, 16740 Birkdale Commons Parkway, Suite 206, Huntersville, N.C.
- English, L., J. Popp and W. Miller. 2013. Economic contribution of the agricultural sector to the Arkansas economy in 2011. Research Report 992. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture; Fayetteville, Arkansas. <u>http://arkansas-ag-news.uark.</u> <u>edu/pdf/992.pdf</u>. Accessed 3 November 2017.
- English, L., J. Popp and W. Miller. 2014. Economic Contribution of the agricultural sector to the Arkansas economy in 2012 Research Report 994. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture; Fayetteville, Arkansas. <u>http://arkansas-ag-news.</u> <u>uark.edu/pdf/994.pdf</u>. Accessed 3 November 2017.
- English, L., J. Popp and W. Miller. 2017. Arkansas Agriculture Profile: Pocket Facts 2017. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture; Fayetteville, Arkansas.
- Goodwin, H.L., J. Popp, W. Miller, G. Vickery and Z. Clayton–Neiderman. 2002. Impact of the agricultural sector on the Arkansas economy. Research Report 969. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville. <u>http://arkansasage-news.uark.edu/pdf/969.pdf</u>. Accessed 13 November 2017.
- IMPLAN (IMPLAN Group, LLC). 2017a. "IMPLAN Industry Sectoring". https://

implanhelp.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/ articles/115009674668-Sectoring-Schemes. Accessed 13 November 2017.

- IMPLAN (IMPLAN Group, LLC). 2017b.
   IMPLAN System (data and software):
   2015 data for the state of Arkansas.
   16740 Birkdale Commons Parkway,
   Suite 206, Huntersville, N.C. www.
   IMPLAN.com
- IMPLAN (IMPLAN Group, LLC). 2017c. IMPLAN Analysis—Frequently Asked Questions: Estimating the Contribution of a Current Industry. <u>https:// implanhelp.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/ articles/115009542247-Multi-Industry-Contribution-Analysis</u>. Accessed 13 November 2017.
- Kemper, N., J. Popp and W. Miller. 2009. Economic contribution of the agriculture sector to the Arkansas economy in 2007 and revised estimates for 2006. Research Report 987. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville. <u>http://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/ pdf/987.pdf</u>. Accessed 13 November 2017.
- McGraw, K., J. Popp and W. Miller. 2011. Economic contribution of the agriculture sector to the Arkansas economy in 2009. Research Report 990. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville. <u>http://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/ pdf/990.pdf</u>. Accessed: 13 November 2017.
- McGraw, K., J. Popp and W. Miller. 2012. Economic contribution of the agriculture sector to the Arkansas economy in 2010. Research Report 991. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville. <u>http://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/ pdf/991.pdf</u>. Accessed 13 November 2017.
- Olson, D. and S. Lindall. 2009. IMPLAN Professional Version 2.0 Software, Analysis, and Data Guide. Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. Stillwater, Minn.

- Popp, J., N. Kemper and W. Miller. 2007. Impact of the agricultural sector on the Arkansas economy in 2003. Research Report 981. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville, Arkansas. <u>http://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/ pdf/981.pdf</u>. Accessed: 13 November 2017.
- Popp, J., N. Kemper, W. Miller, K. Mc-Graw and K. Karr. 2010. The economic contribution of the agricultural sector to the Arkansas economy in 2008. Research Report 989. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville, Arkansas. <a href="http://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/pdf/989.pdf">http://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/pdf/989.pdf</a>. Accessed 13 November 2017.
- Popp, J., G. Vickery and W. Miller. 2005. Impact of the agricultural sector on the Arkansas economy in 2001. Research Report 975. Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville, Arkansas. <u>http://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/ pdf/975.pdf</u>. Accessed 13 November 2017.
- Stevens, B. and G. Trainor. 1980. "Error generation on regional input-output analysis and its implications for nonsurvey models." In: Economic impact analysis: Methodology and applications, ed. S. Pleeter, 68-79. Amsterdam: Marinus Nijhoff. <u>http://link.springer. com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-011-7405-3 5</u>. Accessed 13 November 2017.
- USCB (U.S. Census Bureau). 2014. 2012. North American Classification System (NAICS). <u>https://www.census.gov/cgibin/sssd/naics/naicsrch?chart=2012.</u> Accessed 13 November 2017.
- USCB (U.S. Census Bureau). 2017. "North American Industry Classification System Frequently asked questions (FAQs)." <u>http://www.census.gov/</u> <u>eos/www/naics/faqs/faqs.html#q1</u>. Accessed 13 November 2017.

## Appendix A Description of IMPLAN Sectors and Aggregation Schemes

Table 1. Components of the Crops Sector as Defined by IMPLAN Sectors, 2015.				
Aggregate Sector	Sector ID	IMPLAN Sector		
	1	Oilseed farming		
	2	Grain farming		
	3	Vegetable and melon farming Fruit farming		
	4 5	Tree nut farming		
CROPS PRODUCTION	6	Greenhouse, nursery, and floriculture production		
	7	Tobacco farming		
	8	Cotton farming		
	9	Sugarcane and sugar beet farming		
CRORE PROCESSING	10	All other crop farming		
CROPS PROCESSING	67	Flour milling		
	68	Rice milling		
	69	Malt manufacturing		
	70	Wet corn milling		
	71	Soybean and other oilseed processing		
	72	Fats and oils refining and blending		
	73	Breakfast cereal manufacturing		
	74	Beet sugar manufacturing		
	75	Sugar cane mills and refining		
	76	Nonchocolate confectionery manufacturing		
	77	Chocolate and confectionery manufacturing from cacao beans		
	78	Confectionery manufacturing from purchased chocolate		
	79	Frozen fruits, juices and vegetables manufacturing		
	80	Frozen specialties manufacturing		
	81	Canned fruits and vegetables manufacturing		
	82	Canned specialties		
	83	Dehydrated food products manufacturing		
	94	Bread and bakery product, except frozen, manufacturing		
	95	Frozen cakes and other pastries manufacturing		
	96	Cookie and cracker manufacturing		
	97	Dry pasta, mixes, and dough manufacturing		
	98	Tortilla manufacturing		
	99	Roasted nuts and peanut butter manufacturing		
	100	Other snack food manufacturing		
	101	Coffee and tea manufacturing		

Table 1. Components of the Crops Sector as Defined by IMPLAN Sectors, 2015.

Table continued on next page.

Aggregate Sector	Sector ID	IMPLAN Sector
CROPS PROCESSING cont.	102	Flavoring syrup and concentrate manufacturing
	103	Mayonnaise, dressing, and sauce manufacturing
	104	Spice and extract manufacturing
	105	All other food manufacturing
	106	Bottled and canned soft drinks & water
	107	Manufactured ice
	108	Breweries
	109	Wineries
	110	Distilleries
	111	Tobacco product manufacturing
	112	Fiber, yarn, and thread mills
	113	Broadwoven fabric mills
	114	Narrow fabric mills and schiffli machine embroidery
	115	Nonwoven fabric mills
	116	Knit fabric mills
	117	Textile and fabric finishing mills
	118	Fabric coating mills
	119	Carpet and rug mills
	120	Curtain and linen mills
	121	Textile bag and canvas mills
	122	Rope, cordage, twine, tire cord and tire fabric mills
	123	Other textile product mills
	124	Hosiery and sock mills
	125	Other apparel knitting mills
	126	Cut and sew apparel contractors
	127	Men's and boys' cut and sew apparel manufacturing
	128	Women's and girls' cut and sew apparel manufacturing
	129	Other cut and sew apparel manufacturing
	130	Apparel accessories and other apparel manufacturing

Table 1. Continued.

Note: Sector IDs and industries are derived from the IMPLAN 536 sectoring scheme.

Aggregated Sector	Sector ID	IMPLAN Sector
	11	Beef cattle ranching and farming, including feedlots and dual-purpose ranching and farming
NIMAL PRODUCTION	12	Dairy cattle and milk production
INIME I RODOCTION	13	Poultry and egg production
	14	Animal production, except cattle and poultry and eggs
	65	Dog and cat food manufacturing
	66	Other animal food manufacturing
	84	Fluid milk manufacturing
	85	Creamery butter manufacturing
	86	Cheese manufacturing
	87	Dry, condensed, and evaporated dairy product manufacturing
ANIMAL PROCESSING	88	Ice cream and frozen dessert manufacturing
INIMAL I ROCESSING	89	Animal, except poultry, slaughtering
	90	Meat processed from carcasses
	91	Rendering and meat byproduct processing
	92	Poultry processing
	93	Seafood product preparation and packaging
	131	Leather and hide tanning and finishing
	132	Footwear manufacturing

Table 2. Components of the Animal Agriculture Sector, Defined by IMPLAN Sectors, 2015.

Note: Sector IDs and industries are derived from the IMPLAN 536 sectoring scheme.

Aggregated Sector	Sector ID	IMPLAN Sector		
FORESTRY PRODUCTION	15	Forestry, forest products, and timber tract production		
	16	Commercial logging		
FORESTRY PROCESSING	134	Sawmills		
	135	Wood preservation		
	136	Veneer and plywood manufacturing		
	137	Engineered wood member and truss manufacturing		
	138	Reconstituted wood product manufacturing		
	139	Wood windows and door manufacturing		
	140	Cut stock, resawing lumber, and planing		
	141	Other millwork, including flooring		
	142	Wood container and pallet manufacturing		
	143	Manufactured home (mobile home) manufacturing		
	144	Prefabricated wood building manufacturing		
	145	All other miscellaneous wood product manufacturing		
	146	Pulp mills		
	147	Paper mills		
	148	Paperboard mills		
	149	Paperboard container manufacturing		
	150	Paper bag and coated and treated paper manufacturing		
	151	Stationery product manufacturing		
	152	Sanitary paper product manufacturing		
	153	All other converted paper product manufacturing		
	297	Nonupholstered wood household furniture manufacturing		
	300	Office furniture manufacturing		
	301	Custom architectural wood manufacturing		
	368	Wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing		
	369	Upholstered household furniture manufacturing		
	370	Nonupholstered wood household furniture manufacturing		
	372	Institutional furniture manufacturing		
	373	Wood office furniture manufacturing		
	374	Custom architectural woodwork and millwork		

Table 3. Components of the Forestry Sector as Defined by IMPLAN Sectors, 2015.

Note: Sector IDs and industries are derived from the IMPLAN 536 sectoring scheme.

Table 4.	Components of the	Agriculture-Related	d Sector as Define	d by IMPLA	N Sectors, 2015.
1 abic 4.	components of the	<sup>1</sup> Si icultul c-itclate	a Sector as Dennes	u by min Lin	11 Dectors, 2013.

Aggregated Sector	IMPLAN Sector		
AGRICULTURE RELATED	Commercial fishing; Commercial hunting and trapping; Support activities for		
AGRICOLIORE RELATED	agriculture and forestry		

Note: Sectors are derived from the IMPLAN 536 sectoring scheme.



University of Arkansas System